REMARKS

Claims 1-5 and 7-22 are currently pending in the subject application. Claims 1, 12, 18 and 22 have been amended herein, and claims 3, 6 and 7 have been cancelled herein. Favorable reconsideration of the subject patent application is respectfully requested in view of the amendments and comments herein.

I. Rejection of Claim 22 Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claim 22 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112. Claim 22 has been amended herein to cure any informalities. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

II. Rejection of Claims 1-8, 10 and 22 stand rejected Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claims 1-8, 10 and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Baji et al. (U.S. 5,426,745). It is respectfully submitted that this rejection should be withdrawn for at least the following reasons. Baji et al. does not teach or suggest a GUI file which is operative to be transmitted from a host computer to a mobile terminal, and the GUI file having attached thereto at least one of a dictionary file and syntax file which the mobile terminal employs to facilitate speech recognition in connection with the at least one GUI display file as recited in independent claim 1. The present invention greatly reduces processing and memory requirements as compared to the conventional systems such as Baji et al. Claims 2, 4, 5, 8 and 10 depend from claim 1. Claim 22 recites features similar to those of claim 1. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

The Examiner did not specifically reject claims 12, 14, 15-18 and 20, however, to the extent the Examiner intended to reject these claims based on Baji *et al.*, independent claim 12 recites features similar to the aforementioned features of claim 1. Accordingly, these claims are not anticipated or obviated by Baji *et al.*

09/057,261 TELNP157US

III. Rejection of Claims 9, 11, 19 and 21 stand rejected Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 9, 11, 19 and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Baji *et al.* in view of Barclay (U.S. 5, 960, 399). Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested for at least the following reasons. Barclay does not make up for the aforementioned deficiencies of Baji *et al.*, and therefore this rejection should be withdrawn.

IV. Conclusion

The present application is believed to be condition for allowance in view of the above amendments and comments. A prompt action to such end is earnestly solicited.

In the event any additional fees are due in connection with this document, the Commissioner is authorized to charge those fees to Deposit Account No. 50-1063.

Should the Examiner believe a telephone interview would be helpful to expedite favorable prosecution, the Examiner is invited to contact applicant's undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

AMIN, ESCHWEILER & TUROCY, LLP

Himanshu S. Amin

Reg. No. 40,894

24TH Floor, National City Center 1900 E. 9TH Street Cleveland, Ohio 44114 Telephone (216) 696-8730 Facsimile (216) 696-8731 S:\text{HAM\TELXON\P157US\Reply1.wpd}